(31)

S.R
6 of 1876
1—8

(Incomplete)

Regarding the Reduction
of License fees on Arrack
Shops in Sidlghatta Tq.

Indexed
.GBaroffa

№ 475.

Memo:

Docket No. 44/32 of the 7th April 1876 from the Dy. Commr. of the Kolar District.

Regd No. 3636 8/10. 3.76.

In a petition addressed to the Chief Commr., Ramaboyee and Nine Arrack Sellers of the Sidlaghatt Taluk ~~open~~ represented ~~that~~ after the establishment of the Sudder Distillery System, the petrs had to pay one Rupee for procuring license to sell liquor in the shops in small villages and two or three Rupees for the same in towns and ten or twelve Rs in large towns ~~that~~ the petrs with great difficulty and lest they should lose their occupation used to pay the above rates; that the Dy. Commr. has

has now ordered that in villages comprising 200 inhabitants, the license fee per shop should be one Rupee, while that in villages containing more than 200 people, and in Kasba towns should be Rs. 2 and 7 respectively; and that the number of shops in all Kasbas should be increased by two; that the duty which they have now to pay to the distiller on the liquor is Rs. 3/-, and therefore they get very little profit; and they prayed that orders may be issued for the levy of the duty &c. according to the former rates and not according to the recent orders.

2. The above petition was forwarded by the D.C. Comm. of the Kolar Distt, who was

No. 77/20 dt 3.4.76

was requested to submit a copy of ~~any~~ any order issued such as that referred to in the petition revising the Schedule of rates for licenses to sell arrack &c:

No. 44/32 of 7.4.76

3. In reply, the Dy. Commr. forwards copy of a letter No. 273 of the 15th July 1874 from the Commr. Mandidroog Dn. to the address of the Dy. Commr. of the Tumkur Distt. and states that this letter was sent to the Dy. Commr. of the Kolar Distt. for his guidance with a request that the instructions therein contained be observed from this year.

4. In the Commrs. letter No. 273 above referred to, it was observed that the license fee had better not be based on the quantity of arrack sold as

very

very little reliance can be placed on the information regarding sales furnished by the holders of shops; that it seems preferable therefore to base the Govt demand on a standard less capable of being mis-=represented; that ~~xxxx~~ ~~xxxx~~ ~~xxxx~~

5

applicable from the commencement of 1875/76 to all shops and at once to those not sold as otherwise confusion in the accounts would arise.

5 The Chief Commissioner does not appear to have been obtained from the money the Commissioners letter No 273 of the 15th July 1874, quoted in para 4 above. — But as the change has been introduced, the Com^rs may be requested to report the results of the measure both in the Junction

and Klondike
after the Expiration
of the current
official year.

approved by
Mayfield

6. It seems to
me that even
in Valdez, it will
be preferable to
put up the shops
by auction so as
to allow open
free competition.
This point may,
however, be con-
sidered on the
receipt of the
Lewis' Report.

7 The petitioners
may be informed

Mr. Chairman the
Committee on Ways and Means
to whom was referred the bill
(H.R. No. 1) to provide for the
payment of the public debt and
to establish a uniform system
of taxation by internal duties
and excises, have had the same
under consideration and have
directed me to report the same
back to the House with the
recommendation that the bill
do pass.

Wm. D. Kelley
Chairman

April 9, 1866

1876.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner of the Kolar District

Dated 3rd April, 1876.

Despatched 6

Received 7 Apr 10

ENCLOSURES NO. 1

General No. 77.

No. 20

Forwards a Petition No 3636 dated 10th March 1876 from Ramaboyee and others Arrack Vendors of the Dodballapur Taluk Kolar Dist. and requests submission of Copy of any Order issued, such as that referred to in the petition, revising the Schedule of Rates for licenses to sell Arrack &c.

(By Order)

Assistant Secretary

Entered

No. 3636.

Name of Petitioner. Ramabaye V & others
Shariab Devandas
of Goa

Village. Sidalgie & th

Taluk. Salcete

District. Goa.

Date of Arzi. 10/3/76

Date of Receipt. 13/3/76.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures. Nil.

Inquire into the
Dept. of
15-4-76.
16/4
14/3/76

The Petitioners probably not
fully sworn. Do they wish
to say whether they agree?
[illegible]

Request the Dept. Comm. to send
copy of the Govt. order spoken of,
as well as the Govt. to consider the
Schools question in connection
with Petitioners case by 15/3
[illegible]
Drafted
29/3/76

ABSTRACT.

States that they
are called fisher-men:
but can no longer
carry on their legitimate
operations of catching fish
and bearing a Palanqueen:
for now a days, there
no palanqueens for them
to carry: and the Govt.
have issued order that
catching fish should
be prohibited. The
only occupation that
may be considered
their legitimate one
as a means of [illegible]
of

No 3636.

Dated 10/3/76.
Rec: 13/3/76.

Ramabyle and the Sheral-dueandars of the Sedalghatt Talek.

10

State that they are called fishermen; but can no longer carry on their legitimate operations of catching fish and bearing a palankeen. For now a days there are no palankeens for them to carry; and Govt. have issued an order that catching fish should be prohibited the only occupation that may be considered their legitimate one or a secondary point of view is the arrack-sellers. This they have successfully been carrying on for a long time, obeying whatever

orders the Govt. have passed
from time to time in the
matter of arrack-selling.
That at first petrs. had
arrears in their houses paying
nothing more than the house tax.
Next the introduction of the
Chazat. contract System was
made. and they began to
buy liquor of Contractors and
sell it in small villages and
towns, paying Shop-tax in the
former at 4, 6 or 8 annas and
in the latter at one rupee.
After the establishment of
distilleries the distillers began
to sell their Stills and the
petitioners were called upon to
pay one rupee for procuring
licence to sell liquor in the
shops in small villages
and two or three rupees for
the same in towns and ten
or twelve for that in large towns

Petitioners

Rs. with great difficulty and lest that they might loose their only occupation, obeyed the call. Beet now the Govt. has ordered that in Villages Comprising according to the recent census 200 inhabitants, the rate of shop-license should be one rupee, while that in Villages containing more than 200, and in Kasabas towns, should be Rs: 2 & 4 respectively; and that the number of shops in all Kasabas should be increased by two. The duty that they have to pay to the distiller on the liquor is also high. It is rupees

rupees three. Though
petitioners sell liquor for
Rs. 4. Yet their gain
will be very little, because
they have got to pay Rs. 3 to
the distillers, and a high license
rate to the govt: the
fruit of the enforcement
of these orders will be the
abandonment of all
shops by their owners:

Beg that orders
may issue to levy the
duty fees from petitioners not
according to the recent
orders but according to
that of the former years.

Rs.
A.R.

C. 175
5

1876.

From The Deputy Commissioner
Police Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

In Compliance

Dated 7th April
Despatched 8th "
Received 10th "
Ans 11 "

ENCLOSURES NO. 2.

General No. 44 } 1876—77.
No. 32

I have the honor to forward herewith for the information of the Lieutenant Governor a petition from Ramakanta Mullick and others praying that the Commissioner of Police may be empowered to grant licenses for the sale of country spirits to such persons as he may think fit.

In returning the enclosures of the above, the undersigned begs to submit the enclosed copy of the Commissioner's letter No. 273 of the 15th July 1871 addressed to the Deputy Commissioner

of

of the Summer Institute,
on the subject of Monthly
Reports for Mail
Contracts, and to state
that this Communication
was sent to the undersigned
for guidance and with the
request that the instructions
therein contained be observed
from this year.

W. Kirkwood

Chairman Com. on Mail
Mail Contracts

Kundydrug Division

Commissioner's Office

No 273 : C

Bangalore the 15th July 1/4

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kundair District

Sir,

In reply to your letter No. 296 dated 29th Ultimo, on the Subject of the license fee on retail Arrack Shops, I have the honor to inform you that after reviewing the whole question and ascertaining the systems now in force in the Bangalore and Kolar Districts, I propose to fix the following rates for your District

2. The license fee had better not be based on the quantity of arrack sold as very little reliance can be placed on the information regarding sales, furnished by the holders of shops; and it seems preferable therefore to base the Government demand on a standard less capable of being misrepresented.

3. I am, on principle, opposed to the System of Auction Sale, but having been ordered, it is better not to

change

applicable from the Commencement of the next year to all Shops, and at once to those not sold, otherwise Confusion in the Accounts might arise.

6. Shop tax will be paid as usual. Wholesale arrack Shop being charged a license fee of Rupees 2 monthly.

7. The objections regarding the enhancement of the Still head duty cannot be entertained.

I have &c

Signed J. K. Pearse
Commissioner

"Copy"
Signed J. K. Pearse
Commissioner.

"True Copy"

Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

16.

№ 3636
219

S.R.
6 of 16
3.

Endorsement

In reply to their petition dated 10th March 1876, Ramaboyee and other Arrack vendors of the Sidlaghat Taluk Kasba are informed that the rates of license fees imposed on them are those that have been prescribed for general adoption and that the Chief Commr sees no reason at present for

1876

From

To

Dated

Despatched 12

Received

ENCLOSURES NO.

General No. 5687

No. 99

18

S.R.
6 5 70

429

No. 5687
No. 99

Docket No. 44/32 of the 7th April 1876 from the Dy. Commr. of the Kolar Distt. reporting on a petition from Ramaboje and other arrack vendors of the Sidlaghatta Taluk Kasba complaining of ~~the increase of the~~ License fees ~~in~~ on retail arrack shops.

Order thereon — In the above, the Dy. Commr. reports that the present scale of license fees on retail arrack shops ~~in the Kolar district~~ was introduced on the 1st May 1874 under the instructions of the Commr. of the Nundidrug Division contained in his letter No. 273 of the 15th July 1874 to the addrss of the Dy. Commr. of the Tumkur Distt. ~~The Chief Commr's sanction~~ does

~~does not appear to have~~
~~been obtained prior to the~~
~~issue of the Commrs~~
~~letter above referred to.~~
~~But as the change has~~
~~been introduced~~, the
Commrs is requested to submit a special
report on the results of the
measure both in the
Trunkur and Kolar
Dists, after the expiration
of the current official
year.

20

Yr obdt Servt
Secy

To The Commrs Nundidroog Dg

limited to 6 months only — to avoid future complications the Comr may be asked to report whether the above order has been duly carried out.

As the CC has ordered on another occasion recently not that it is the intention to postpone the introduction of the new system till 1 Jany, the licenses will be renewed on 1 Oct may be limited to three months i.e. up to 31 Dec

15/6/77

NR (157)

14/5/77

1877. 0.702/24

From

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Date

Despatched

Received

ENCLOSURES NO

General No. 78 } 1877—78.
No.

ref. Comrs. Pro: No.
dated 11th Sept: 1876.

"Reports the results of the changes made in the scale of Licence fee on retail Arrack Shops in the Kolar & Tumkur Districts."

E. Shaftesbury
11-5-77
W.64-5-77

No orders on this seem necessary as this in contemplation to introduce the ~~new~~ Improved Excise system under which the management of all retail shops will rest with the successful renter In the CCs' order No 12562 of 10 March last it was directed that licenses, Wholesale Retail, issued on 1st April should be

D.

Sundry drog Division

No. R 28

Commissioner's Office

Bangalore 9th May 1877.

To

The Secretary to the Chief

Commissioner of Mysore.

Sir,

Adverting to the Chief Commissioner's Proceedings No. 5687 R. 99 dated 11th September 1876, I have the honor to report the results of the changes made in the scales of License fee on retail arrack shops in the Kolar and Tumkur Districts during the past year.—

2.— The District Head Quarters Shops were put up to auction as per orders of the Chief Commissioner conveyed in your No. 805 R. 10 dated 16th May 1870 to the address of the Commissioner of the Nagar Division and for shops in Taluk Cutchas and Villages, the marginally noted scale of fees with reference to the population of the places was fixed.—

* No. 7— For Shops in Taluk Cutchas with a population of more than 5.000—
" 6— Do Do less than 5.000.
" 5— Do in big Villages with a population of more than 500.—
" 3— Do Do less than 500.—
" 2— Small Do with a population of more than 200.—
" 1— Do Do below 200.—

* Marginally noted scale of fees with reference to the population of the places was fixed.—

The

3.— The realizations under the working of the above system in both the Districts as compared with those of the year 1875/76 are shewn below:—

District.	For 1875/76.	For 1876/77.	Decrease.	Increase.
Kolar.	14,390-4-4.	10,728-12-0.	3661-8-4.	———
Tumkur.	2,175-0-0.	2,723-0-0.	———	548-0-0.

4.— With regard to the falling off in the Kolar District, Mr. Krishnaiengar explains that many Shops which formerly (under the system of putting Cuddha retail Shops to auction sale, and fixing fees at discretionary rates in those of the other places) paid higher fees now pay less. And many, which would have to pay the higher rate under the system now adopted, have been closed.—

5.— After deducting this increase obtained in the sale of District Head Quarter Shops, there has been a small falling off in the Tumkur District also in respect of Taluk, Cuddha and Village Shops. Captain Ludlow attributes this to the new system of

of fixed rate which precludes Com-
-petition.—

6.— I beg to state that there can be no doubt with regard to the better financial results in the cases where the Shops are put up to auction-lent there is always some loss after-wards. The effect is to a certain extent demoralizing and the auctions bring forward an unreliable and inferior class of speculative Vendors who dilute the liquor and often con-duct their work very unsatisfac-torily.—

7.— The Government has therefore to choose between obtaining a fair and certain income under the System of fixed License fees—or a better income under a System which is in itself neither Satisfac-tory nor thoroughly reliable. There was recently a case in which a man purchased three Shops threw up two at the end of three months and when the Shops were resold refused to make good the loss and petitioned and fought against

against the attachment of his property in satisfaction of the Government demands.—

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Commissioner.

The order authorizing Dy Comrs to grant licences up to 31st March next was issued yesterday. This may be filed.

1878

1877. 67

From The Commissioners Sandy of Division

To

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 7th
Despatched
Received 9th August 1877
Enclosures No. 1

General No. 96 | 1877–78.
No.

I do forwarding Copy of draft no: 1309/368 of the 31st ultimo from the Deputy Commr of the Salar Division I have the honor to suggest, for the Chief Commissioner's consideration, the postponement of the introduction of the Municipality system till the Commissioner - and to solicit permission for the issue of licences to the whole sale and the said Vendors to the end of current official year.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Commissioner
Sukkur Sandy Division

From: The Deputy Commissioner
Salem District.

To The Commissioner
Nundydroog Division

Dated 31st July 1877

No: 1309/368.

The Chief Commissioner's order No: 12,562 Rev: 215 dated 10th March last directs the existing arrangements at the Seasons distilleries in the Nundydroog Division to be continued upto 30th September 1877 pending the introduction of the new scheme of Abkary administration -

Referring to the above, I have the honor to state that as no orders have since been received on the above subject, the Wholesale and Retail licenses issued on the 1st April last in this District may be allowed to be extended to the end of the Current official Year in the event of the new System under Contemplation not being brought into force till the Famine is over.

Signed B. Krishnaiyar
Dy Commissioner
Salem Distt.

"By"
H. H. Jones
Asst
for Commr Ceded Distts:

No. 44

1877.

From

To Capt. Nuttall Dy
Dy do of Bangalore Kolar
& Tumkur Dts.

Dated 4 August
Despatched " "
Received 15th "

Enclosures No. "—

General No. 4657
Revenue No. 48

Observing that pending the introduction of the Improved Excise System, under which the management of all retail shops will vest in the Successful parties no alterations need be made in the existing rate of License fees on them

General No: 4657
R " 48

Dated 9th August 1877.

Read:-

Nandydroog Division
Commissioner's letter No. 208
dated 9th May 77

Order thereon

The Chief Commr. observes that, pending the introduction of the Improved Excise system under which the management of all retail shops will vest in the successful renter, no alteration need be made in the existing rates of License fees levied on them.

2. The Comr. is requested to report whether the orders conveyed in this Office order No. 12662/215 dated 10th March last have been duly carried out, and to limit the licenses which will be renewed on 1st October next to ~~three~~ Six months

from that date, i.e.,
March 1878.
up to 31st ~~Dec. 1877~~.

Offg. Secretary

To The Comr. of the Mandy-
- droog Division
- The Dy. Comrs. of the
Bangalore, Kolar and
Tumkur Districts.

PRSK
9/8/77

Rm 626 1877. U. 24/81

From The Commissioner Nundidroog Division

Secretary to Chief Commissioner,
General Department.

Dated 14th August 1877.
Despatched
Received 15-16
Read 15th

Enclosures No.

General No. 90 } 1877-78.
C. No.

To Chief Commissioner
Mysore Gen. Dept. 4657 of
P. 48
9th Instant dis-
=cussing that Depart-
=ment the introduction
of the improved Ta-
=xation System no alter-
=ations need be made
in the existing rates
of License Fees.

With reference to
para 2 of the above
has the honor to
report that the
orders conveyed in the
Chief Commissioner's